

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/808,706	MCMILLAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: after-final

 (1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(3) _____.

 (2) Chun Leung.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 January 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

56

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that claim 56 would be cancelled to alleviate any possible confusion about a threshold level that is both noise-based (as required by claim 52) and user defined (as recited in claim 56).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ~~_____~~
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)